This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/977,871	10/14/2001	Jeffrey Charles Hawkins	HAND.P0013	4375
23349 7	590 05/20/2004		EXAM	INER
STATTLER JOHANSEN & ADELI			MISTRY, O NEAL RAJAN	
P O BOX 5186	· · ·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PALO ALTO, CA 94303			2172	

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

m

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/977,871	HAWKINS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		O'Neal R Mistry	2173			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
THE MA - Extensic after SIX - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure t Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. riod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep- viried for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period o reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ty received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)□ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 14 C	October 2001.				
2a)□ T	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	ı of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application	n Papers					
9) <u></u> Th	ne specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s	1					
1) X Notice of	of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
3) Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 lo(s)/Mail Date	I	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Art Unit: 2173

8 4 4

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This application has been examined.
- 2. Claims 1-23 are presented for examination.

Drawings

3. The Examiner contends that the drawings submitted on 09/21/2000 are acceptable for the examination proceedings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim1-9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Ben-Shachar et al (U.S. 6,557,004), hereafter referred to as Ben-Shachar.

Claim 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by by Whipple et al (U.S. 5,917,905), hereafter referred to as Whipple.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102e Claim 1-9

4. Regarding claim 1, Shachar teaches a selecting a desired subset from a list of names in a computer system, each of said names comprising a first name and a last name, said method comprising:

accepting a first desired letter input (col. 3 line 67- col. 4 line 5);

Art Unit: 2173

selecting a first subset from said list of names comprising names having a first name beginning with said first desired letter or a last name beginning with said first desired letter (col. 4 lines 1-5);

accepting a second desired letter input (col. 5 lines 5-7); selecting a second subset from said list of names that have

a first name beginning with said first desired letter and said second desired letter, (col. 5 lines 7-26). or

a last name beginning with said first desired letter and said second desired letter (col. 5 lines 7-26).

- 5. Regarding claim 2, Shachar teaches a displaying at least a portion of said first subset after selecting said first subset from said list of names (Figure 7 & col. 4 lines 2-5).
- 6. Regarding claim 3, Shachar teaches a displaying at least a portion of said second subset after selecting said second subset from said first subset (col. 4 lines 22-25).
- 7. Regarding claim 4, Shachar teaches said method further comprising, accepting a next desired letter input; and (col. 3 line 67 col. 4 line 2). selecting a next subset from said list of names that have (col. 4 lines 2-5). a first name beginning with said first desired letter, said second desired letter, and said next desired letter (col. 4 lines 5-8), or

a last name beginning with said first desired letter, said second desired letter, and said next desired letter (col. 4 lines 5-8) or,

Art Unit: 2173

8. Regarding claim 5, Shachar teaches a method further comprising, repeating said

Page 4

steps of accepting a next desired letter input and selecting a next subset. (col. 4 lines 5-

8).

Regarding claim 6, Shachar teaches a method further comprising, displaying at least a

portion of said next subset after selecting said next subset from said previous subset.

(col. 4 lines 20-23).

9. Regarding claim 7, Shachar teaches a method further comprising, repeating said

steps of accepting a next desired letter input, selecting a next subset, and displaying

said next subset (col. 4 lines 5-8 & col. 4 lines 21-24).

10. Regarding claim 8, Shachar teaches a method further comprising:

accepting a user input deleting said next desired letter (col. 3 line 67- col.4 line 2); and

selecting said second subset from said list of names that have (col. 4 line 2-4).

a first name beginning with said first desired letter and said second desired letter,

or (col. 4 lines 5-8).

a last name beginning with said first desired letter and said second desired letter,

or (col. 4 lines 5-8).

a first name beginning with said first desired letter and a last name beginning

with said second desired letter.

11. Regarding claim 9, Shachar teaches a method further comprising, displaying at

least a portion of said second subset after selecting said second subset from said first

subset (col. 4 lines 20-23).

Art Unit: 2173

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102e Claim 10

12. Regarding claim 10, Whipple teaches an intuitive interface to a wireless telecommunication system, said method comprising:

displaying a first user interface screen comprising a telephone dial pad interface when a user wishes to dial a telephone number using a convention touchtone dial pad; (Figure 4 & col.12 lines 4-6).

displaying a second user interface screen comprising a first page of speed dial labels when said user wishes to dial a telephone number from a list of speed dial numbers; (col. 11 lines 22-32).

displaying a third user interface screen comprising a subset of a call history when said user wishes to dial a telephone number from said call history; and (col. 12 lines 29-32).

displaying a fourth user interface screen comprising a subset of a list of names and telephone numbers when said user wishes to dial a telephone number from said list of names and telephone numbers (col. 12 lines 40- 47).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2173

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 11-23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whipple et al (U.S. 5,917,905), hereafter referred to as Whipple, in view of Ben-Shachar et al (U.S. 6,557,004), hereafter referred to as Ben-Shachar.

13. With regards to claim 11 for being rejected, Whipple disclosed a telephone interface system that contains a speed-dial interface, a dial-pad interface, call history interface, and a list of contact interface. Whipple has the ability to search through a list of contacts, and has the ability to place a telecommunication phone call. The interface used in Whipple, displays the most recent 9 called numbers (col. 12 lines 29-32), and allows the user to call them again. Quick reference a list of contact to call immediately. Permit the user to manually enter a telephone number by operating a numeric keypad. (col. 12 lines 4-9) Lets the user have the ability to traverse multi-layer contact phone book (col. 12 lines 40-45). Whipple fails to disclose an advance algorithm that searches first, last, or business names. The contacts in the phone books are only arranged in alphabetic order from last name. Also, Whipple employs a different method on how the user has the ability to search through the phone book. Instead of entering one information at a time, which Ben-Shachar uses, Whipple allows the user to enter a

Art Unit: 2173

group key, which lists the contacts in alphabetical order depending on the key the was pressed.

Ben-Shachar shows a hand-held device that has the ability to accept inputs from a user to search through a list of first name, last names, and other fields. (col.4 lines 7-17) As new information is inputted into the hand-held device the search list changes by filtering un-wanted data and display possible data for every new contributing information (col. 3 line 62- col. 4 line 6). The information is inserted into a text box, which is exhibit in Figure 4, and a list of data is displayed below it (col. 4 lines 21-33). For example, if the user is insert the letter "j" into the text box, a list of every first, last, and business names with "j" would displayed. Next, if the user enters "jan" the algorithm starts to refine the list, and display only contacts that contain "jan" in the first, last, or business names (col. 4 lines 2-7).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use Ben-Shachar's search technique for display data into Whipple's multi-layer phone-book. Whipple and Ben-Shachar were both storing first names, last names, and telephone numbers. The two inventions only differ by the use of how the information was retrieved and displayed on the GUI.

The modifications would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to search different type of search techniques on phone books or contact information on a hand-held device, the scalability of the searching methold is improved by utilizing binary searches or n-ary searches when searching the databases sorted on the first and last named.

Art Unit: 2173

14. With regards to claim 12 for being rejected, In the related art of displaying at least a portion of said first subset after selecting and first subset from said list of names, Ben-Shachar disclosed that after information is inserted into the edit control text box the hand-held begins to refine the contact list. The hand-hald use a search algorithm that searches through the database of contacts, with each new letter, the algorithm updates the contact list, so only it contains records that the user requests (col. 3 lines 62-67). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the inventions was made to use the search algorithm that displays and updates as new letters are entered into the hand-held, that is described by Ben-Shachar in a telephone instruments that is described by Whipple. This simple modification would have been obvious because one of the ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the search algorithm for displaying and searching with the multi-layer phone book in the telephone instrument.

Page 8

15. With regards to claim 13 for being rejected, In the related art of displaying at least a portion of said second subset after selecting and second subset from said first subset, Ben-Shachar disclosed that after information is inserted into the edit control text box the hand-held begins to refine the contact list. The hand-hald use a search algorithm that searches through the database of contacts, with each new letter, the algorithm updates the contact list, so only it contains records that the user requests (col. 3 lines 62-67). It would hav been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the inventions was made to use the search algorithm that displays and updates as new letters are entered into the hand-held, that is described by Ben-Shachar in a telephone instruments

Art Unit: 2173

that is described by Whipple. This simple modification would have been obvious because one of the ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the search algorithm for displaying and searching with the multi-layer phone book in the telephone instrument.

device that has the ability to accept inputs from a user to search through a list of first name, last names, and other fields (col.4 lines 7-17). As new information is inputted into the hand-held device the search list changes by filtering un-wanted data and display possible data for every new contributing information (col. 3 line 62- col. 4 line 6). The information is inserted into a text box, which is exhibit in Figure 4, and a list of data is displayed below it. (col. 4 lines 21-33). For example, if the user is insert the letter "j" into the text box, a list of every first, last, and business names with "j" would displayed. Next, if the user enters "jan" the algorithm starts to refine the list, and display only contacts that contain "jan" in the first, last, or business names (col. 4 lines 2-7).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use Ben-Shachar's search technique for display data into Whipple's multi-layer phone-book. Whipple and Ben-Shachar were both storing first names, last names, and telephone numbers. The two inventions only differ by the use of how the information was retrieved and displayed on the GUI.

The modifications would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to search different type of search techniques on phone books or contact information on a hand-held device, the scalability of the searching

Art Unit: 2173

methold is improved by utilizing binary searches or n-ary searches when searching the databases sorted on the first and last named.

Page 10

- 17. With regards to claim 15 for being rejected, Ben-Shachar discloses for repeating steps of accepting more than one desired letter input and select another set of subsets (col. 3 lines 62- 67 & col. 4 lines 5-8).
- 18. With regards to claim 16 for being rejected, In the related art of displaying at least a portion of said first subset after selecting and first subset from said list of names, Ben-Shachar disclosed that after information is inserted into the edit control text box the hand-held begins to refine the contact list. The hand-held use a search algorithm that searches through the database of contacts, with each new letter, the algorithm updates the contact list, so only it contains records that the user requests (col. 3 lines 62-67). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the inventions was made to use the search algorithm that displays and updates as new letters are entered into the hand-held, that is described by Ben-Shachar in a telephone instruments that is described by Whipple. This simple modification would have been obvious because one of the ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the search algorithm for displaying and searching with the multi-layer phone book in the telephone instrument.
- 19. With regards to claim 17 for being rejected, Ben-Shachar discloses for repeating steps of accepting more than one desired letter input and select another set of subsets. (col. 3 lines 62- 67 & col. 4 lines 5-8).

Application/Control Number: 09/9/7,07

Art Unit: 2173

20. With regards to claim 18 for being rejected, Ben-Shachar shows a hand-held device that has the ability to accept inputs from a user to search through a list of first name, last names, and other fields (col.4 lines 7-17). As new information is inputted into the hand-held device the search list changes by filtering un-wanted data and display possible data for every new contributing information (col. 3 line 62- col. 4 line 6). The information is inserted into a text box, which is exhibit in Figure 4, and a list of data is displayed below it. (col. 4 lines 21-33). For example, if the user is insert the letter "j" into the text box, a list of every first, last, and business names with "j" would displayed. Next, if the user enters "jan" the algorithm starts to refine the list, and display only contacts that contain "jan" in the first, last, or business names (col. 4 lines 2-7).

Page 11

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use Ben-Shachar's search technique for display data into Whipple's multi-layer phone-book. Whipple and Ben-Shachar were both storing first names, last names, and telephone numbers. The two inventions only differ by the use of how the information was retrieved and displayed on the GUI.

The modifications would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to search different type of search techniques on phone books or contact information on a hand-held device, the scalability of the searching methold is improved by utilizing binary searches or n-ary searches when searching the databases sorted on the first and last named.

Application/Control Number: 09/977,871 Page 12

Art Unit: 2173

21. With regards to claim 19 for being rejected, Whipple discloses an interface screen for dialing numbers manually when the computer is inoperative (col. 5 lines 33-38).

- 22. With regards to claim 20 for being rejected, Whipple discloses a display keypad and LCD may be used to control the handling of a call in progress when the system is in progress. If the user press the "HOLD" button, this allows the user to suspends the present call without losing connection (col. 13 lines 52-63).
- 23. With regards to claim 21 for being rejected, Whipple disclosed the function of the "FLASH" button, which allows the user to terminate the present connection, by initiate the lineDROP function (col. 13 lines 52-60).
- 24. With regards to claim 22 for being rejected, Whipple disclosed the function of accepting an incoming call (col. 29 lines 10-26).
- 25. With regards to claim 23 for being rejected, Whipple disclosed the function of accepting an incoming call (col. 29 lines 10-26).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclose.

- 1. Whipple et al, (U.S. Patent Number 5,917,905).
- 2. Ben-Shachar et al, (U.S. Patent Number 6,557,004)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to O'Neal R Mistry whose telephone number is (703) 305-2738. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am - 6pm.

Art Unit: 2173

Page 13

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W Cabeca can be reached on (703)308-3116. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

O'Neal Mistry Assistant Examiner

> PAYMOND J. BAYERL PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2173